should validate whether extending vaccine dosing intervals leads to more sustained vaccine protection.

Brian Grunau, MD, MHSc David M. Goldfarb, MD Michael Asamoah-Boaheng, MPhil Liam Golding, BSc Tracy L. Kirkham, PhD Paul A. Demers, PhD Pascal M. Lavoie, MDCM, PhD

Author Affiliations: Department of Emergency Medicine, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, Canada (Grunau); Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, Canada (Goldfarb); Faculty of Medicine, Memorial University of Newfoundland, St John's, Canada (Asamoah-Boaheng); Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, Canada (Golding); Dalla Lana School of Public Health, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada (Kirkham, Demers); Department of Pediatrics, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, Canada (Lavoie).

**Corresponding Author:** Brian Grunau, MD, MHSc, British Columbia Resuscitation Research Collaborative, 1190 Hornby St, Fourth Floor, Vancouver, BC V6Z 2K5, Canada (brian.grunau@ubc.ca).

Accepted for Publication: November 18, 2021.

Published Online: December 3, 2021. doi:10.1001/jama.2021.21921

Author Contributions: Drs Grunau and Lavoie had full access to all of the data in the study and take responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis.

Concept and design: Grunau, Goldfarb, Asamoah-Boaheng, Kirkham, Demers, Lavoie.

Acquisition, analysis, or interpretation of data: Grunau, Asamoah-Boaheng, Golding, Kirkham, Demers, Lavoie.

Drafting of the manuscript: Grunau, Asamoah-Boaheng, Lavoie. Critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content: Goldfarb,

Asamoah-Boaheng, Golding, Kirkham, Demers, Lavoie.

Statistical analysis: Asamoah-Boaheng, Lavoie.

Obtained funding: Grunau, Goldfarb, Kirkham, Demers, Lavoie.

Administrative, technical, or material support: Goldfarb, Golding, Kirkham, Demers

Supervision: Grunau, Goldfarb, Kirkham, Lavoie.

Conflict of Interest Disclosures: None reported.

Funding/Support: This study was supported by funding from the government of Canada, through the COVID-19 Immunity Task Force.

**Role of the Funder/Sponsor:** The government of Canada had no role in the design and conduct of the study; collection, management, analysis, and interpretation of the data; preparation, review, or approval of the manuscript; and decision to submit the manuscript for publication.

Additional Contributions: We thank Mohammad Ehsanul Karim, PhD (School of Population and Public Health, University of British Columba), for providing statistical expertise; Paul N. Levett, PhD, and Martin Petric, PhD (British Columbia Centre for Disease Control), for performing live virus neutralization testing; Richard Armour, MSc (British Columbia Emergency Health Services), for assisting with participant enrollment; and Vilte Barakauskas, PhD (British Columbia Children's and Women's Hospital), for managing specimens. No compensation was received for their contributions to this work.

1. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). COVID-19. Accessed August 11, 2021. https://www.cdc.gov/vaccines/covid-19/clinical-considerations/ covid-19-vaccines-us.html

2. Voysey M, Costa Clemens SA, Madhi SA, et al; Oxford COVID Vaccine Trial Group. Single-dose administration and the influence of the timing of the booster dose on immunogenicity and efficacy of ChAdOX1 nCoV-19 (AZD1222) vaccine: a pooled analysis of four randomised trials. *Lancet*. 2021;397(10277): 881-891. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(21)00432-3

3. Wahl M, Hermodsson S, Iwarson S. Hepatitis B vaccination with short dose intervals—a possible alternative for post-exposure prophylaxis? *Infection*. 1988; 16(4):229-232. doi:10.1007/BF01650758

4. Romero-Brufau S, Chopra A, Ryu AJ, et al. Public health impact of delaying second dose of BNT162b2 or mRNA-1273 covid-19 vaccine: simulation agent based modeling study. *BMJ*. 2021;373(May):n1087. doi:10.1136/bmj.n1087

5. Moghadas SM, Vilches TN, Zhang K, et al. Evaluation of COVID-19 vaccination strategies with a delayed second dose. *PLoS Biol.* 2021;19(4):e3001211. doi:10. 1371/journal.pbio.3001211

**6**. Khoury DS, Cromer D, Reynaldi A, et al. Neutralizing antibody levels are highly predictive of immune protection from symptomatic SARS-CoV-2 infection. *Nat Med*. 2021;27(7):1205-1211. doi:10.1038/s41591-021-01377-8

## Multisystem Inflammatory Syndrome in Children by COVID-19 Vaccination Status of Adolescents in France

COVID-19 mRNA vaccine immunogenicity and effectiveness are well established in adolescents.<sup>1</sup> However, the effect of vaccination on multisystem inflammatory syndrome in children (MIS-C),<sup>2</sup> a severe complication associated with SARS-CoV-2,<sup>3</sup> has not yet been described. Summer 2021 in France was marked by both a fourth wave of COVID-19 cases due to the Delta variant, with a peak in August 2021, and by the recommendation of the French Public Health Agency to vaccinate children aged 12 years or older. We estimated the risk of MIS-C among adolescents by COVID-19 vaccination status during September 2021 and October 2021.

Methods | All pediatric patients diagnosed with MIS-C according to World Health Organization criteria and admitted to 1 of the 41 French pediatric intensive care units (PICUs) between September 1, 2021, and October 31, 2021, were included in this study. In addition, all patients with MIS-C who were not admitted to a PICU and mandatorily reported to the French Public Health Agency<sup>4</sup> during this period were included.

Data regarding age, sex, admission to a PICU, and vaccination status of patients aged 12 to 18 years (hereafter referred to as *adolescents*) were recorded.

To account for the increasing number of adolescents vaccinated over time, including during the period in which MIS-C cases were measured, hazard ratios (HRs) of unvaccinated vs vaccinated adolescents with at least 1 dose of vaccine were estimated using a Cox proportional hazards model. Given the delays between vaccine injection and immune response and between SARS-CoV-2 infection and MIS-C onset, 3 sensitivity analyses were performed in which adolescents were considered vaccinated at least 14, at least 28, and at least 42 days after the first vaccine dose. The delay of more than 42 days covers the 28 days between the first and second injection and 2 additional weeks to achieve full immunity. Data describing vaccination status per day are available from https://solidaritessante.gouv.fr/grands-dossiers/vaccin-covid-19/article/letableau-de-bord-de-la-vaccination.

All statistical analyses were performed with Stata, version 16.1 (StataCorp), and a 2-sided P < .05 was considered statistically significant.

This study was approved as a medical registry assessment without a requirement for patient consent by the French Advisory Committee on Information Processing in Health Research.

**Results** | On June 15, 2021, the beginning of the adolescent COVID-19 vaccination campaign, 2.2% of the 4 989 013

jama.com

Table. Multisystem Inflammatory Syndrome in Children (MIS-C) Risk by COVID-19 Vaccination Status of Adolescents			
COVID-19 vaccination status <sup>a</sup>	No. of patients with MIS-C (N = 33	B) Hazard ratio (95% CI) <sup>b</sup>	P value
Unvaccinated	26	1 [Reference]	<.001
One dose	7	0.09 (0.04-0.21)	
Sensitivity analysis: fully vaccinated ≥1	4 d after first dose <sup>c</sup>		
Unvaccinated	28	1 [Reference]	<.001
One dose	5	0.07 (0.03-0.18)	
Sensitivity analysis: fully vaccinated ≥2	8 d after first dose <sup>c</sup>		
Unvaccinated	31	1 [Reference]	<.001
One dose	2	0.03 (0.01-0.12)	
Sensitivity analysis: fully vaccinated ≥4	2 d after first dose <sup>c</sup>		
Unvaccinated	31	1 [Reference]	<.001
One dose	2	0.04 (0.01-0.16)	
<sup>a</sup> More than 95% of vaccinated adolescents received BNT162b2		<sup>c</sup> Given the delays between vaccine injection and immune response and	

(Pfizer-BioNTech), less than 5% received mRNA-1273 (Moderna), and less than 1% received other COVID-19 vaccines.

<sup>c</sup> Given the delays between vaccine injection and immune response and between SARS-CoV-2 infection and MIS-C onset, 3 sensitivity analyses were performed in which adolescents were considered vaccinated at least 14, at least 28, and at least 42 days after the first vaccine dose.

<sup>b</sup> Cox proportional hazards regression models were used to calculate hazard ratios, with the number of adolescents vaccinated with at least 1 dose as the exposure and MIS-C as the outcome.

adolescents in France were vaccinated with at least 1 dose and 0.2% were fully vaccinated. On October 31, 2021, vaccination rates reached 76.7% of adolescents having received at least 1 dose and 72.8% being fully vaccinated. Vaccines used were BNT162b2 (Pfizer-BioNTech; >95%), mRNA-1273 (Moderna; <5%), and other COVID-19 vaccines (<1%).

From September 1, 2021, to October 31, 2021, a total of 107 children with MIS-C were hospitalized in France and, among them, 33 (31%) were adolescents eligible for vaccination. Adolescents with MIS-C were a median age of 13.7 (IQR, 12.5-14.9) years, 27 (81%) were male, and 29 (88%) were admitted to a PICU. Among them, 0 had been fully vaccinated, 7 had received 1 dose with a median time between vaccine injection and MIS-C onset of 25 (IQR, 17-37) days, and 26 had not been vaccinated. The HR for MIS-C was 0.09 (95% CI, 0.04-0.21; P < .001) after the first vaccine dose compared with unvaccinated adolescents. Sensitivity analyses showed similar results (**Table**).

Discussion | Most adolescents with MIS-C for whom vaccination was indicated in France had not been vaccinated. These results suggest that COVID-19 mRNA vaccination was associated with a lower incidence of MIS-C in adolescents. The median of 25 days between single vaccine injection and MIS-C onset compared with a mean 28-day delay between SARS-CoV-2 infection and MIS-C onset<sup>4</sup> suggests that, in most cases, SARS-CoV-2 infection occurred before or shortly after the vaccine injection, when immune response was incomplete. The absence of MIS-C cases in fully vaccinated children prevented calculation of an HR for this group but suggests that 2 doses are warranted for efficient protection. The study had limitations, including the low number of patients, use of national data to calculate HRs without considering regional variations, and inability to control for individual risks of MIS-C, such as sex, race and ethnicity, and comorbidities.<sup>5</sup>

The association between mRNA vaccination with MIS-C in younger children should be evaluated as vaccines are approved for use in children aged 5 to 11 years. Close monitoring is required given the alert on myocarditis occurring in adolescents after COVID-19 mRNA vaccine.<sup>6</sup>

Michael Levy, MD, PhD Morgan Recher, MD, PhD Hervé Hubert, MD, PhD Etienne Javouhey, MD, PhD Olivier Fléchelles, MD, PhD Stéphane Leteurtre, MD, PhD François Angoulvant, MD, PhD

Author Affiliations: Pediatric Intensive Care Unit, Hôpital Universitaire Robert-Debré, Paris, France (Levy); ULR 2694-METRICS, Université de Lille, Lille, France (Recher, Hubert, Leteurtre); Pediatric Intensive Care Unit, Hôpital Femme Mère Enfant, Lyon, France (Javouhey); Pediatric Intensive Care Unit, Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de Martinique, Fort-de-France, France (Fléchelles); Department of General Pediatrics, Pediatric Infectious Disease and Internal Medicine, Hôpital Universitaire Robert-Debré, Paris, France (Angoulvant).

**Corresponding Author:** Michael Levy, MD, PhD, Pediatric Intensive Care Unit, Hôpital Universitaire Robert-Debré, Assistance Publique-Hôpitaux de Paris, 48 boulevard Sérurier, 75019 Paris, France (michael.levy@aphp.fr).

Accepted for Publication: December 8, 2021.

Published Online: December 20, 2021. doi:10.1001/jama.2021.23262

Author Contributions: Drs Levy and Angoulvant had full access to all of the data in the study and take responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis.

Concept and design: Levy, Recher, Javouhey, Angoulvant.

Acquisition, analysis, or interpretation of data: All authors.

Drafting of the manuscript: Levy, Angoulvant.

Critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content: Levy, Recher, Hubert, Javouhey, Fléchelles, Leteurtre.

Statistical analysis: Levy, Angoulvant.

Obtained funding: Hubert, Leteurtre.

Administrative, technical, or material support: Recher, Hubert, Javouhey, Leteurtre, Angoulvant.

Supervision: Levy, Javouhey, Angoulvant.

**Conflict of Interest Disclosures:** Dr Angoulvant reported receiving lecture fees from Pfizer and being the principal investigator of the PANDOR study, which received an unrestricted grant from Pfizer. No other disclosures were reported.

Additional Contributions: We are grateful to Groupe Francophone de Réanimation et d'Urgences Pédiatriques, Santé Publique France, Société Française de Pédiatrie, Groupe de Pediatrie Générale, Groupe de Pathologie Infectieuse Pédiatrique, Société Française de Cardiologie, Filiale de Cardiologie Pédiatrique et Congénitale, Société Francophone Dédiée à L'étude des Maladies Inflammatoires Pédiatriques, and Filière de Santé des Maladies Auto-immunes et Auto-inflammatoires Rares for their participation in the French COVID-19 Paediatric Inflammation Consortium. We thank Daniel Levy-Bruhl, MD, Denise Antona, MD, and Isabelle Parent-du-Chatelet, MD (Santé Publique France Agence Nationale de Santé Publique, Saint-Maurice); David Skurnik, PhD (INSERM U1151-Equipe 11, Paris); and Naïm Ouldali (Hôpital Universitaire Robert-Debré, Paris) for their advice, as well as all the caregivers who included patients in the study. No compensation was provided to these individuals.

1. Frenck RW Jr, Klein NP, Kitchin N, et al; C4591001 Clinical Trial Group. Safety, immunogenicity, and efficacy of the BNT162b2 Covid-19 vaccine in adolescents. *N Engl J Med*. 2021;385(3):239-250. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa2107456

2. Feldstein LR, Rose EB, Horwitz SM, et al; Overcoming COVID-19 Investigators; CDC COVID-19 Response Team. Multisystem inflammatory syndrome in US children and adolescents. *N Engl J Med*. 2020;383(4):334-346. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa2021680

**3**. Whittaker E, Bamford A, Kenny J, et al; PIMS-TS Study Group and EUCLIDS and PERFORM Consortia. Clinical characteristics of 58 children with a pediatric inflammatory multisystem syndrome temporally associated with SARS-CoV-2. *JAMA*. 2020;324(3):259-269. doi:10.1001/jama.2020.10369

**4**. Belot A, Antona D, Renolleau S, et al. SARS-CoV-2-related paediatric inflammatory multisystem syndrome, an epidemiological study, France, 1 March to 17 May 2020. *Euro Surveill.* 2020;25(22):2001010. doi:10.2807/1560-7917. ES.2020.25.22.2001010

5. Dhar D, Dey T, Samim MM, et al. Systemic inflammatory syndrome in COVID-19-SISCoV study: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Pediatr Res.* Published online May 18, 2021. doi:10.1038/s41390-021-01545-z

**6**. Diaz GA, Parsons GT, Gering SK, Meier AR, Hutchinson IV, Robicsek A. Myocarditis and pericarditis after vaccination for COVID-19. *JAMA*. 2021;326 (12):1210-1212. doi:10.1001/jama.2021.13443

### **COMMENT & RESPONSE**

# Effect of Fractional Carbon Dioxide Laser vs Sham Treatment on Vaginal Symptom Severity in Postmenopausal Women

To the Editor A recently published double-blind randomized clinical trial<sup>1</sup> found that fractional carbon dioxide laser did not significantly improve vaginal symptoms associated with menopause compared with sham treatment. The Editorial<sup>2</sup> associated with this study appealed for a pause on the use of vaginal laser treatment. However, we are concerned that vaginal mucosa wetness may have affected the results of this trial. Because the energy of fractional carbon dioxide laser can be easily absorbed by water, the area of the body being treated with this technology must be completely dry to ensure full effect of treatment.<sup>3</sup> In this study, 4% lignocaine topical anesthetic cream was applied to the vaginal introitus for 20 minutes to reduce tactile difference or pain perception in both groups. It is unclear whether there was any residual moisture on the vaginal wall because this information was not reported in the study. Moreover, vaginal mucosa wetness is an important baseline variable that should be measured and reported before insertion of the vaginal probe to exclude the effect of vaginal mucosa surface wetness in the 2 groups on treatment results.

Rong Chen, MD Jing Yan Wang, MD, PhD Kaiyang Lv, MD, PhD

jama.com

Author Affiliations: Department of Gynecology and Obstetrics, Tenth People's Hospital, Shanghai, China (Chen); Department of Plastic Surgery, Xinhua Hospital, Shanghai, China (Wang, Ly).

**Corresponding Author**: Kaiyang Lv, MD, PhD, Department of Plastic Surgery, Xinhua Hospital, Shanghai Jiao Tong University School of Medicine, Shanghai 200092, China (lvkaiyang@hotmail.com).

### Conflict of Interest Disclosures: None reported.

1. Li FG, Maheux-Lacroix S, Deans R, et al. Effect of fractional carbon dioxide laser vs sham treatment on symptom severity in women with postmenopausal vaginal symptoms: a randomized clinical trial. *JAMA*. 2021;326(14):1381-1389. doi:10.1001/jama.2021.14892

2. Adelman M, Nygaard IE. Time for a "pause" on the use of vaginal laser. *JAMA*. 2021;326(14):1378-1380. doi:10.1001/jama.2021.14809

**3**. Filippini M, Del Duca E, Negosanti F, et al. Fractional CO<sub>2</sub> laser: from skin rejuvenation to vulvo-vaginal reshaping. *Photomed Laser Surg.* 2017;35(3):171-175. doi:10.1089/pho.2016.4173

To the Editor I have several questions about the study<sup>1</sup> investigating the effect of fractional carbon dioxide laser vs sham treatment on symptom severity in women with postmenopausal vaginal symptoms. First, I would like to know whether this study's treatment protocol included a 90° probe introital treatment in addition to the straight probe vaginal treatment. In clinical practice, this additional introital therapy substantially enhances the treatment effect of the carbon dioxide laser therapy. Second, in relation to the histological evaluation of the vaginal tissue before and after treatment, do the authors have an explanation for the change in vaginal histology in the sham group to premenopausal status in 3 of the 24 patients? Third, did patients with recurrent vulvovaginal candidiasis receive antifungal medication prior to treatment with the fractional carbon dioxide laser? Fourth, were women with vulvodynia excluded from this trial? In clinical practice, patients with untreated vulvovaginal candidiasis and/or vulvodynia typically do not have improvement in their vaginal symptoms with laser therapy unless these underlying conditions are addressed and managed.

#### Neroli Ngenda, MD

Author Affiliation: Royal Brisbane & Women's Hospital, University of Queensland, Brisbane, Australia.

**Corresponding Author:** Neroli Ngenda, MD, Ste 28/245 McCullough St, Sunnybank, QLD 4109, Australia (drngenda@gmail.com).

Conflict of Interest Disclosures: None reported.

1. Li FG, Maheux-Lacroix S, Deans R, et al. Effect of fractional carbon dioxide laser vs sham treatment on symptom severity in women with postmenopausal vaginal symptoms: a randomized clinical trial. *JAMA*. 2021;326(14):1381-1389. doi:10.1001/jama.2021.14892

To the Editor We have several concerns about the recent study by Li et al.<sup>1</sup> First, specific groups of women who were not the focus of this trial may benefit from fractional carbon dioxide laser treatment. As mentioned by the authors, this study<sup>1</sup> was not powered to examine the efficacy of vaginal laser treatment on patients with breast cancer. Women receiving endocrine therapy for breast cancer typically have more severe vaginal symptoms associated with menopause and more vaginal atrophy<sup>2</sup> and theoretically could derive a larger relative benefit from laser treatment.<sup>3,4</sup>